



On angular localization of spectra of perturbed operators

M.I. GIL'

*Department of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev
P.O. Box 653, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel*

gilmi@bezeqint.net

Received June 29, 2020
Accepted September 17, 2020

Presented by Manuel González

Abstract: Let A and \tilde{A} be bounded operators in a Hilbert space. We consider the following problem: let the spectrum of A lie in some angular sector. In what sector the spectrum of \tilde{A} lies if A and \tilde{A} are “close”? Applications of the obtained results to integral operators are also discussed.

Key words: Operators, spectrum, angular location, perturbations, integral operator.

AMS Subject Class. (2010): 47A10, 47A55, 47B10.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex separable Hilbert space with a scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) , the norm $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{(\cdot, \cdot)}$ and unit operator I . By $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we denote the set of bounded operators in \mathcal{H} . For an $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, A^* is the adjoint operator, $\|A\|$ is the operator norm and $\sigma(A)$ is the spectrum.

We consider the following problem: let A and \tilde{A} be “close” operators and $\sigma(A)$ lie in some angular sector. In what sector $\sigma(\tilde{A})$ lies?

Not too much works are devoted to the angular localizations of spectra. The papers [5, 6, 7, 8] should be mentioned. In particular, in the papers by E.I. Jury, N.K. Bose and B.D.O. Anderson [5, 6] it is shown that the test to determine whether all eigenvalues of a complex matrix of order n lie in a certain sector can be replaced by an equivalent test to find whether all eigenvalues of a real matrix of order $4n$ lie in the left half plane. The results from [5] have been applied by G.H. Hostetter [4] to obtain an improved test for the zeros of a polynomial in a sector. In [7] M.G. Krein announces two theorems concerning the angular localization of the spectrum of a multiplicative operator integral. In the paper [8] G.V. Rozenblyum studies the asymptotic behavior of the distribution functions of eigenvalues that appear in a fixed angular region of the complex plane for operators that are close to normal. As applications, he calculates the asymptotic behavior of the spectrum of two classes of oper-



ators: elliptic pseudo-differential operators acting on the sections of a vector bundle over a manifold with a boundary, and operators of elliptic boundary value problems for pseudo-differential operators. It should be noted that in the just pointed papers the perturbations of an operator whose spectrum lie in a given sector are not considered. Below we give bounds for the spectral sector of a perturbed operator.

Without loss of the generality it is assumed that

$$\beta(A) := \inf \operatorname{Re} \sigma(A) > 0. \quad (1.1)$$

If this condition does not hold, instead of A we can consider perturbations of the operator $A_1 = A + Ic$ with a constant $c > |\beta(A)|$.

For a $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ we write $Y > 0$ if Y is positive definite, i.e., $\inf_{x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|=1} (Yx, x) > 0$. Let $Y > 0$. Define the *angular Y -characteristic* $\tau(A, Y)$ of A by

$$\cos \tau(A, Y) := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|=1} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(YAx, x)}{|(YAx, x)|}.$$

The set

$$S(A, Y) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| \leq \tau(A, Y)\}$$

will be called the *Y -spectral sector of A* .

LEMMA 1.1. *For an $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, let condition (1.1) hold and Y be a positive definite operator, such that $(YA)^* + YA > 0$. Then $\sigma(A)$ lies in the Y -spectral sector of A .*

Proof. Take a ray $z = re^{it}$ ($0 < r < \infty$) intersecting $\sigma(A)$, and take the point $z_0 = r_0e^{it}$ on it with the maximum modulus. By the theorem on the boundary point of the spectrum [1, Section I.4.3, p. 28] there exists a normed sequence $\{x_n\}$, such that $Ax_n - z_0x_n \rightarrow 0$, ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Hence,

$$\frac{\operatorname{Re}(YAx_n, x_n)}{|(YAx_n, x_n)|} = \frac{\operatorname{Re} r_0e^{it}(Yx_n, x_n)}{r_0|(Yx_n, x_n)|} + \epsilon_n = \cos t + \epsilon_n$$

with $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So z_0 is in $S(A, Y)$. This proves the lemma. ■

EXAMPLE 1.2. Let $A = A^* > 0$. Then condition (1.1) holds. For any $Y > 0$ commuting with A (for example $Y = I$) we have $(YA)^* + YA = 2YA$ and $\operatorname{Re}(YAx, x) = |(YAx, x)|$. Thus $\cos \tau(A, Y) = 1$ and $S(A, Y) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \arg z = 0\}$.

So Lemma 1.1 is sharp.

Remark 1.3. Suppose A has a bounded inverse. Recall that the quantity $\text{dev}(A)$ defined by

$$\cos \text{dev}(A) := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{H}, x \neq 0} \frac{\text{Re}(Ax, x)}{\|Ax\| \|x\|}$$

is called the angular deviation of A , cf. [1, Chapter 1, Exercise 32]. For example, for a positive definite operator A one has

$$\cos \text{dev}(A) = \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda_M \lambda_m}}{\lambda_M + \lambda_m},$$

where λ_m and λ_M are the minimum and maximum of the spectrum of A , respectively (see [1, Chapter 1, Exercise 33]). Besides, in Exercise 32 it is pointed that the spectrum of A lies in the sector $|\arg z| \leq \text{dev}(A)$. Since $|(Ax, x)| \leq \|Ax\| \|x\|$, Lemma 1.1 refines the just pointed assertion.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

Let A be a bounded linear operator in \mathcal{H} , whose spectrum lies in the open right half-plane. Then by the Lyapunov theorem, cf. [1, Theorem I.5.1], there exists a positive definite operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ solving the Lyapunov equation

$$2 \text{Re}(AX) = XA + A^*X = 2I. \tag{2.1}$$

So $\text{Re}(XAx, x) = ((XA + A^*X)x, x)/2 = (x, x)$ ($x \in \mathcal{H}$) and

$$\cos \tau(A, X) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|=1} \frac{(x, x)}{|(XAx, x)|} = \frac{1}{\sup_{x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|=1} |(XAx, x)|} \geq \frac{1}{\|AX\|}.$$

Put

$$J(A) = 2 \int_0^\infty \|e^{-At}\|^2 dt.$$

Now we are in a position to formulate our main result.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $A, \tilde{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, condition (1.1) hold and X be a solution of (2.1). Then with the notation $q = \|A - \tilde{A}\|$ one has*

$$\cos \tau(\tilde{A}, X) \geq \cos \tau(A, X) \frac{(1 - qJ(A))}{(1 + qJ(A))},$$

provided

$$qJ(A) < 1.$$

The proof of this theorem is based on the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $A, \tilde{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, condition (1.1) hold and X be a solution of (2.1). If, in addition,*

$$q\|X\| < 1, \quad (2.2)$$

then

$$\cos \tau(\tilde{A}, X) \geq \cos \tau(A, X) \frac{(1 - \|X\|q)}{(1 + \|X\|q)}.$$

Proof. Put $E = \tilde{A} - A$. Then $q = \|E\|$ and due to (2.1), with $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|x\| = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(X(A + E)x, x) &\geq \operatorname{Re}(XAx, x) - |(XEx, x)| \\ &= (x, x) - |(XEx, x)| \\ &\geq (x, x) - \|X\|\|E\|\|x\|^2 = 1 - \|X\|q. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} |(X(A + E)x, x)| &\leq |(XAx, x)| + \|X\|\|E\|\|x\|^2 \\ &= |(XAx, x)| \left(1 + \frac{\|X\|q}{|(XAx, x)|} \right) \quad (\|x\| = 1). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$|(XAx, x)| \geq |\operatorname{Re}(XAx, x)| = \operatorname{Re}(XAx, x) = (x, x) = 1.$$

Hence

$$|(X(A + E)x, x)| \leq |(XAx, x)| \left(1 + \frac{\|X\|q}{\operatorname{Re}(XAx, x)} \right) \leq |(XAx, x)|(1 + \|X\|q).$$

Now (2.3) yields.

$$\frac{\operatorname{Re}(X\tilde{A}x, x)}{|(X\tilde{A}x, x)|} \geq \frac{(1 - \|X\|q)}{|(XAx, x)|(1 + \|X\|q)} \quad (\|x\| = 1),$$

provided (2.2) holds. Since

$$\cos \tau(\tilde{A}, X) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{B}, \|x\|=1} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(X\tilde{A}x, x)}{|(X\tilde{A}x, x)|},$$

we arrive at the required result. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.1 Note that X is representable as

$$X = 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-A^*t} e^{-At} dt$$

[1, Section 1.5]. Hence, we easily have $\|X\| \leq J(A)$. Now the latter lemma proves the theorem. ■

3. OPERATORS WITH HILBERT-SCHMIDT HERMITIAN COMPONENTS

In this section we obtain an estimate for $J(A)$ ($A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$) assuming that $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$A_I := (A - A^*)/i \text{ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator,} \tag{3.1}$$

i.e., $N_2(A_I) := (\text{trace}(A_I^2))^{1/2} < \infty$. Numerous integral operators satisfy this condition. Introduce the quantity (the departure from normality)

$$g_I(A) := \left[2N_2^2(A_I) - 2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty |\text{Im } \lambda_k(A)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{2}N_2(A_I),$$

where $\lambda_k(A)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) are the eigenvalues of A taken with their multiplicities and ordered as $|\text{Im } \lambda_{k+1}(A)| \leq |\text{Im } \lambda_k(A)|$. If A is normal, then $g_I(A) = 0$, cf. [2, Lemma 9.3].

LEMMA 3.1. *Let conditions (1.1) and (3.1) hold. Then $J(A) \leq \hat{J}(A)$, where*

$$\hat{J}(A) := \sum_{j,k=0}^\infty \frac{g_I^{j+k}(A)(k+j)!}{2^{j+k}\beta^{j+k+1}(A)(j!k!)^{3/2}}.$$

Proof. By [2, Theorem 10.1] we have

$$\|e^{-At}\| \leq \exp[-\beta(A)t] \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{g_I^k(A)t^k}{(k!)^{3/2}} \quad (t \geq 0).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} J(A) &\leq 2 \int_0^\infty \exp[-2\beta(A)t] \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{g_I^k(A)t^k}{(k!)^{3/2}} \right)^2 dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty \exp[-2\beta(A)t] \left(\sum_{j,k=0}^\infty \frac{g_I^{k+j}(A)t^{k+j}}{(j!k!)^{3/2}} \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{j,k=0}^\infty \frac{2(k+j)!g_I^{j+k}(A)}{(2\beta(A))^{j+k+1}(j!k!)^{3/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. ■

If A is normal, then $g_I(A) = 0$ and with $0^0 = 1$ we have $\hat{J}(A) = \frac{1}{\beta(A)}$.
The latter lemma and Theorem 2.1 imply

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $A, \tilde{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let the conditions (1.1), (3.1) and $q\hat{J}(A) < 1$ hold. Then*

$$\cos \tau(\tilde{A}, X) \geq \frac{(1 - q\hat{J}(A))}{(1 + q\hat{J}(A))} \cos \tau(A, X).$$

4. INTEGRAL OPERATORS

As usually $L^2 = L^2(0, 1)$ is the space of scalar-valued functions h defined on $[0, 1]$ and equipped with the norm

$$\|h\| = \left[\int_0^1 |h(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2}.$$

Consider in $L^2(0, 1)$ the operator \tilde{A} defined by

$$(\tilde{A}h)(x) = a(x)h(x) + \int_0^1 k(x, s)h(s)ds \quad (h \in L^2, x \in [0, 1]), \quad (4.1)$$

where $a(x)$ is a real bounded measurable function with

$$a_0 := \inf a(x) > 0, \quad (4.2)$$

and $k(x, s)$ is a scalar kernel defined on $0 \leq x, s \leq 1$, and

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |k(x, s)|^2 ds dx < \infty. \quad (4.3)$$

So the Volterra operator V defined by

$$(Vh)(x) = \int_x^1 k(x, s)h(s)ds \quad (h \in L^2, x \in [0, 1]),$$

is a Hilbert-Schmidt one. Define operator A by

$$(Ah)(x) = a(x)h(x) + \int_x^1 k(x, s)h(s)ds \quad (h \in L^2, x \in [0, 1]).$$

Then $A = D + V$, where D is defined by $(Dh)(x) = a(x)h(x)$. Due to Lemma 7.1 and Corollary 3.5 from [3] we have $\sigma(A) = \sigma(D)$. So $\sigma(A)$ is real and $\beta(A) = a_0$. Moreover,

$$N_2(A_I) = N_2(V_I) \leq N_2(V) = \left[\int_0^1 \int_x^1 |k(x, s)|^2 ds dx \right]^{1/2}.$$

Here $V_I = (V - V^*)/2i$. Thus,

$$g_I(A) \leq g_V := \sqrt{2}N_2(V)$$

and

$$\|A - \tilde{A}\| \leq q_0 := \left[\int_0^1 \int_0^x |k(x, s)|^2 ds dx \right]^{1/2}.$$

Simple calculations show that under consideration

$$\hat{J}(A) \leq \hat{J}_0 := \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_V^{j+k}(k+j)!}{2^{j+k} a_0^{j+k+1} (j! k!)^{3/2}}.$$

Making use of Corollary 3.2 and taking into account that in the considered case $\cos \tau(A, X) = 1$, we arrive at the following result.

COROLLARY 4.1. *Let \tilde{A} be defined by (4.1) and the conditions (4.2) and (4.3) hold. If, in addition, $q_0 \hat{J}_0 < 1$, then $\sigma(\tilde{A})$ lies in the angular sector*

$$\left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| \leq \arccos \frac{(1 - q_0 \hat{J}_0)}{(1 + q_0 \hat{J}_0)} \right\}.$$

EXAMPLE 4.2. To estimate the sharpness of our results consider in $L^2(0,1)$ the operators

$$(Ah)(x) = 2h(x) \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{A}h)(x) = (2 + i)h(x) \quad (h \in L^2, x \in [0, 1]).$$

$\sigma(A)$ consists of the unique point $\lambda = 2$ and so $\cos(A, X) = \cos \arg \lambda = 1$. We have

$$J(A) = 2 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-4t} dt = 1/2 \quad \text{and} \quad q = 1.$$

By Corollary 3.2

$$\cos \tau(\tilde{A}, X) \geq \frac{1 - 1/2}{1 + 1/2} = 1/3.$$

Compare this inequality with the sharp result: $\sigma(\tilde{A})$ consists of the unique point $\tilde{\lambda} = 2 + i$. So $\tan(\arg \tilde{\lambda}) = 1/2$, and therefore $\cos(\arg \tilde{\lambda}) = 2/(\sqrt{5})$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very grateful to the referee of this paper for his (her) deep and helpful remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] YU.L. DALECKII, M.G. KREIN, "Stability of Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Space", Vol. 43, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1974.
- [2] M.I. GIL', "Operator Functions and Operator Equations", World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, New Jersey, 2018.
- [3] M.I. GIL', Norm estimates for resolvents of linear operators in a Banach space and spectral variations, *Adv. Oper. Theory* **4**(1) (2019), 113–139.
- [4] G.H. HOSTETTER, An improved test for the zeros of a polynomial in a sector, *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control* **AC-20** (3) (1975), 433–434.
- [5] E.I. JURY, N.K. BOSE, B.D.O. ANDERSON, A simple test for zeros of a complex polynomial in a sector, *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control* **AC-19** (1974), 437–438.
- [6] E.I. JURY, N.K. BOSE, B.D.O. ANDERSON, On eigenvalues of complex matrices in a sector, *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control* **AC-20** (1975), 433–434.
- [7] M.G. KREIN, The angular localization of the spectrum of a multiplicative integral in Hilbert space (in Russian) *Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozhen* **3**(1) (1969), 89–90.
- [8] G.V. ROZENBLYUM, Angular asymptotics of the spectrum of operators that are close to normal, *J. Soviet Math.* **45** (3) (1989), 1250–1261.